

Mark Scheme (Results) Summer 2010

GCE

GCE Statistics S3 (6691/ 01)

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Mark Scheme that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Summer 2010

Publications Code UA024774

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

Hypothesis Tests (Final M1A1)

For an incorrect comparison (e.g. probability with z value) even with a correct statement and/ or comment award MOA0

For a correct or no comparison with more than one statement one of which is false
Award MOA0 (This is compatible with the principle above of contradictory statements being penalised)

Apply these rules to all questions

June 2010
Statistics S3 6691
Mark Scheme

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q1	<p>$H_0: \mu = 80, H_1: \mu > 80$</p> $z = \frac{83 - 80}{\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}} = 2$ <p>$2 > 1.6449$ (accept 1.645 or better)</p> <p>Reject H_0 <u>or</u> significant result <u>or</u> in the critical region Managing director's claim is supported.</p>	<p>B1,B1</p> <p>M1A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7</p>
<p>2nd M1A1</p> <p>Critical Region</p>	<p>1st B1 for H_0. They must use μ not x, p, λ or \bar{x} etc</p> <p>2nd B1 for H_1 (must be > 80). Same rules about μ.</p> <p>1st M1 for attempt at standardising using 83, 80 and $\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}$. Can accept \pm.</p> <p>May be implied by $z = \pm 2$</p> <p>1st A1 for + 2 only</p> <p>3rd B1 for ± 1.6449 seen (or probability of 0.0228 or better)</p> <p>2nd M1 for a correct statement about "significance" or rejecting H_0 (or H_1) based on their z value and their 1.6449 (provided it is a recognizable critical value from normal tables) <u>or</u> their probability (< 0.5) and significance level of 0.05. Condone their probability > 0.5 compared with 0.95 for the 2nd M1</p> <p>2nd A1 for a correct contextualised comment. Must mention "director" and "claim" <u>or</u> "time" and "use of Internet". No follow through.</p> <p>If no comparison or statement is made but a correct contextualised comment is given the M1 can be implied. If a comparison is made it must be <u>compatible</u> with statement otherwise M0 e.g. comparing 0.0228 with 1.6449 is M0 or comparing probability 0.9772 with 0.05 is M0 comparing -2 with - 1.6449 is OK provided a correct statement accompanies it condone -2 $>$ -1.6449 provided their statement correctly rejects H_0.</p> <p>They may find a critical region for \bar{X}: $\bar{X} > 80 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{100}} \times 1.6449 = \text{awrt } 82.5$</p> <p>1st M1 for $80 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{100}} \times (z \text{ value})$</p> <p>3rd B1 for 1.645 or better</p> <p>1st A1 for awrt 82.5</p> <p>The rest of the marks are as per the scheme.</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q2	<p style="text-align: center;">[$P \sim N(90,9)$ and $J \sim N(91,12)$]</p> <p>(a) $(J - P) \sim N(1, 21)$ $P(J < P) = P(J - P < 0)$ $= P\left(Z < \frac{0-1}{\sqrt{21}}\right)$ $= P(Z < -0.2182\dots)$ $= 1 - 0.5871 = 0.4129$ calculator (0.4136....)</p> <p>(b) $X = (J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_{60}) - (P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_{60})$ $E(X) = 60 \times 91 - 60 \times 90 = 60$ [stated as $E(X) = 60$ or $X \sim N(60, \dots)$] $\text{Var}(X) = 60 \times 9 + 60 \times 12 = 1260$ $P(X > 120) = P\left(Z > \frac{120-60}{\sqrt{1260}}\right)$ $= P(Z > 1.69030\dots)$ $= 1 - 0.9545 = 0.0455$</p>	<p>M1, A1</p> <p>dM1</p> <p>A1 (4)</p> <p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 (5)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">9</p>
Use of means	<p>(a) 1st M1 for attempting $J - P$ and $E(J - P)$ or $P - J$ and $E(P - J)$ 1st A1 for variance of 21 (Accept 9 + 12). Ignore any slip in μ here. 2nd dM1 for attempting the correct probability and standardising with their mean and sd. This mark is dependent on previous M so if $J - P$ (or $P - J$) is not being used score M0 If their method is not crystal clear then they must be attempting $P(Z < -ve \text{ value})$ or $P(Z > +ve \text{ value})$ i.e. their probability <u>after</u> standardisation should lead to a prob. < 0.5 so e.g. $P(J - P < 0)$ leading to 0.5871 is M0A0 unless the M1 is clearly earned. 2nd A1 for awrt 0.413 or 0.414</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The first 3 marks may be implied by a correct answer</p> <p>(b) 1st M1 for a clear attempt to identify a correct form for X. This may be implied by correct variance of 1260 B1 for $E(X) = 60$. Can be awarded even if they are using $X = 60J - 60P$. Allow $P - J$ and -60 1st A1 for a correct variance. If 1260 is given the M1 is scored by implication. 2nd M1 for attempting a correct probability and standardising with 120 and their 60 and 1260 If the answer is incorrect a full <u>expression</u> must be seen following through their values for M1 e.g. $P\left(Z > \frac{120 - \text{their } 60}{\sqrt{\text{their variance}}}\right)$. If using -60, should get $P\left(Z < \frac{-120 - -60}{\sqrt{\text{their variance}}}\right)$</p> <p>Attempt to use $\bar{J} - \bar{P}$ for 1st M1, $E(\bar{J} - \bar{P}) = 1$ for B1 and $\text{Var}(\bar{J} - \bar{P}) = 0.35$ for A1 Then 2nd M1 for standardisation with 2, and their 1 and 0.35</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q3 (a)	$E \sim N(0, 0.5^2)$ or $X \sim N(w, 0.5^2)$ $P(E < 0.6) = P\left(Z < \frac{0.6}{0.5}\right)$ or $P(X - w < 0.6) = P\left(Z < \frac{0.6}{0.5}\right)$ $= P(Z < 1.2)$ $= 2 \times 0.8849 - 1 = 0.7698$ awrt 0.770	M1 A1 (2)
(b)	$\bar{E} \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{64}\right)$ or $\bar{X} \sim N\left(w, \frac{0.5^2}{16}\right)$ $P(\bar{E} < 0.3) = P\left(Z < \frac{0.3}{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$ or $P(\bar{X} - w < 0.3) = P\left(Z < \frac{0.3}{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$ $= P(Z < 2.4)$ $= 2 \times 0.9918 - 1 = 0.9836$ awrt 0.984	M1 M1, A1 A1 (4)
(c)	$35.6 \pm 2.3263 \times \frac{1}{8}$ (35.3, 35.9)	M1 B1 A1, A1 (4) 10
(a)	1 st M1 for identifying a correct probability (they must have the 0.6) and attempting to standardise. Need . This mark can be given for 0.8849 - 0.1151 seen as final answer. 1 st A1 for awrt 0.770. NB an answer of 0.3849 or 0.8849 scores M0A0 (since it implies no) M1 may be implied by a correct answer	
(b)	1 st M1 for a correct attempt to define \bar{E} or \bar{X} but must attempt $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$. Condone labelling as E or X This mark may be implied by standardisation in the next line. 2 nd M1 for identifying a correct probability statement using \bar{E} or \bar{X} . Must have 0.3 and 1 st A1 for correct standardisation as printed or better 2 nd A1 for awrt 0.984 The M marks may be implied by a correct answer.	
Sum of 16, not means	1 st M1 for correct attempt at suitable sum distribution with correct variance ($= 16 \times \frac{1}{4}$) 2 nd M1 for identifying a correct probability. Must have 4.8 and 1 st A1 for correct standardisation i.e. need to see $\frac{4.8}{\sqrt{4}}$ or better	
(c)	M1 for $35.6 \pm z \times \frac{0.5}{\sqrt{16}}$ B1 for 2.3263 or better. Use of 2.33 will lose this mark but can still score $\frac{3}{4}$ 1 st A1 for awrt 35.3 2 nd A1 for awrt 35.9	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																																
<p>Q4</p> <p>(a)</p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="309 304 1238 555"> <tr> <td>Distance rank</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depth rank</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d^2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </table> <p>$\sum d^2 = 8$</p> $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 8}{7 \times 48}$ $= \frac{6}{7} = 0.857142$ <p style="text-align: right;">awrt 0.857</p> <p>(b) $H_0 : \rho = 0, H_1 : \rho > 0$ Critical value at 1% level is 0.8929 $r_s < 0.8929$ so not significant evidence to reject H_0, The researcher's claim is not correct (at 1% level). <u>or</u> insufficient evidence for researcher's claim <u>or</u> there is insufficient evidence that water gets deeper further from inner bank. <u>or</u> no (positive) correlation between depth of water and distance from inner bank</p>	Distance rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Depth rank	1	2	4	3	6	7	5	$ d $	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	d^2	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	<p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>(6)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1ft</p> <p>(4)</p> <p>10</p>
Distance rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7																											
Depth rank	1	2	4	3	6	7	5																											
$ d $	0	0	1	1	1	1	2																											
d^2	0	0	1	1	1	1	4																											
<p>(a)</p> <p>(b)</p>	<p>1st M1 for an attempt to rank the depths against the distances</p> <p>2nd M1 for attempting d for their ranks. Must be using ranks.</p> <p>3rd M1 for attempting $\sum d^2$ (must be using ranks)</p> <p>1st A1 for sum of 8 (or 104 for reverse ranking)</p> <p>4th M1 for use of the correct formula with their $\sum d^2$. If answer is not correct an expression is required.</p> <p>2nd A1 for awrt (\pm) 0.857. Sign should correspond to ranking (so use of 104 should get -0.857)</p> <p>1st B1 for both hypotheses in terms of ρ, H_1 must be one tail and compatible with their ranking</p> <p>2nd B1 for cv of 0.8929 (accept \pm)</p> <p>M1 for a correct statement relating their r_s with their cv but cv must be such that $cv < 1$</p> <p>A1ft for a correct contextualised comment. Must mention "researcher" and "claim" <u>or</u> "distance (from bank)" and "depth (of water)" Follow through their r_s and their cv (provided it is $cv < 1$) Use of "association" is A0</p>																																	

Question Number	Scheme					Marks																																																					
Q5	<table border="1" data-bbox="220 293 1214 465"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="220 293 512 353">Finances</th> <th data-bbox="517 293 687 353">Worse</th> <th data-bbox="692 293 863 353">Same</th> <th data-bbox="868 293 1038 353">Better</th> <th data-bbox="1043 293 1214 353"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 353 512 394">Income</td> <td data-bbox="517 353 687 394"></td> <td data-bbox="692 353 863 394"></td> <td data-bbox="868 353 1038 394"></td> <td data-bbox="1043 353 1214 394"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 394 512 434">Under £15 000</td> <td data-bbox="517 394 687 434">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="692 394 863 434">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="868 394 1038 434">12.92</td> <td data-bbox="1043 394 1214 434">34</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 434 512 474">£15 000 and above</td> <td data-bbox="517 434 687 474">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="692 434 863 474">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="868 434 1038 474">25.08</td> <td data-bbox="1043 434 1214 474">66</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 474 512 515"></td> <td data-bbox="517 474 687 515">31</td> <td data-bbox="692 474 863 515">31</td> <td data-bbox="868 474 1038 515">38</td> <td data-bbox="1043 474 1214 515">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="220 510 1086 551">H_0 : State of finances and income are independent (not associated)</p> <p data-bbox="220 555 1086 595">H_1 : State of finances and income are not independent (associated)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="220 636 823 965"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="220 636 341 734">O_i</th> <th data-bbox="346 636 485 734">E_i</th> <th data-bbox="489 636 676 734">$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$</th> <th data-bbox="681 636 823 734">$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 734 341 775">14</td> <td data-bbox="346 734 485 775">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="489 734 676 775">1.1358....</td> <td data-bbox="681 734 823 775">18.59..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 775 341 815">11</td> <td data-bbox="346 775 485 815">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="489 775 676 815">0.0200....</td> <td data-bbox="681 775 823 815">11.48..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 815 341 855">9</td> <td data-bbox="346 815 485 855">12.92</td> <td data-bbox="489 815 676 855">1.1893...</td> <td data-bbox="681 815 823 855">6.269..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 855 341 896">17</td> <td data-bbox="346 855 485 896">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="489 855 676 896">0.5851...</td> <td data-bbox="681 855 823 896">14.12..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 896 341 936">20</td> <td data-bbox="346 896 485 936">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="489 896 676 936">0.0103...</td> <td data-bbox="681 896 823 936">19.55..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 936 341 965">29</td> <td data-bbox="346 936 485 965">25.08</td> <td data-bbox="489 936 676 965">0.6126...</td> <td data-bbox="681 936 823 965">33.53..</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="220 987 1326 1077">$\sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = 3.553... \quad \text{or} \quad \sum \frac{O_i^2}{E_i} - 100 = 103.553... - 100 = 3.553... \quad (\text{awrt } 3.55)$</p> <p data-bbox="220 1081 485 1122">$\nu = (3 - 1)(2 - 1) = 2$</p> <p data-bbox="220 1126 363 1167">cv is 5.991</p> <p data-bbox="220 1171 1118 1211">3.553 < 5.991 so insufficient evidence to reject H_0 <u>or</u> not significant</p> <p data-bbox="220 1216 1174 1256">There is no evidence of association between state of finances and income.</p>					Finances	Worse	Same	Better		Income					Under £15 000	10.54	10.54	12.92	34	£15 000 and above	20.46	20.46	25.08	66		31	31	38	100	O_i	E_i	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$	14	10.54	1.1358....	18.59..	11	10.54	0.0200....	11.48..	9	12.92	1.1893...	6.269..	17	20.46	0.5851...	14.12..	20	20.46	0.0103...	19.55..	29	25.08	0.6126...	33.53..	<p data-bbox="1342 383 1401 450">M1 A1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 544 1401 577">B1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 786 1401 819">M1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 857 1401 891">A1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1014 1401 1048">A1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1081 1401 1115">B1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1126 1401 1160">B1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1171 1401 1205">M1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1216 1401 1249">A1</p> <p data-bbox="1481 1290 1528 1323">10</p>
Finances	Worse	Same	Better																																																								
Income																																																											
Under £15 000	10.54	10.54	12.92	34																																																							
£15 000 and above	20.46	20.46	25.08	66																																																							
	31	31	38	100																																																							
O_i	E_i	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$																																																								
14	10.54	1.1358....	18.59..																																																								
11	10.54	0.0200....	11.48..																																																								
9	12.92	1.1893...	6.269..																																																								
17	20.46	0.5851...	14.12..																																																								
20	20.46	0.0103...	19.55..																																																								
29	25.08	0.6126...	33.53..																																																								
	<p data-bbox="220 1350 1257 1424">1st M1 for some use of $\frac{\text{Row Total} \times \text{Col.Total}}{\text{Grand Total}}$. May be implied by correct E_i</p> <p data-bbox="220 1429 807 1462">1st A1 for all expected frequencies correct</p> <p data-bbox="220 1467 1437 1541">B1 for both hypotheses. Must mention “state” or “finances” and “income” at least once Use of “relationship” or “correlation” or “connection” is B0</p> <p data-bbox="220 1545 1493 1579">2nd M1 for at least two correct terms (as in 3rd or 4th column) or correct expressions with their E_i</p> <p data-bbox="220 1583 1501 1617">2nd A1 for all correct terms. May be implied by a correct answer.(2 dp or better-allow eg 1.13...)</p> <p data-bbox="220 1621 1469 1657">3rd M1 for a correct statement linking their test statistic and their cv . Must be χ^2 not normal.</p> <p data-bbox="220 1662 1469 1778">4th A1 for a correct comment in context - must mention “state” or “finances” and “income” condone “relationship” or “connection” here but not “correlation”. No follow through. e.g. “There is no evidence of a relationship between finances and income”</p>																																																										

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																																			
Q6	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Distance from centre of site (m)</td> <td>0-1</td> <td>1-2</td> <td>2-4</td> <td>4-6</td> <td>6-9</td> <td>9-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$b-a$</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No of artefacts</td> <td>22</td> <td>15</td> <td>44</td> <td>37</td> <td>52</td> <td>58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$P(a \leq X < b)$</td> <td>$\frac{1}{12}$</td> <td>$\frac{1}{12}$</td> <td>$\frac{1}{6}$</td> <td>$\frac{1}{6}$</td> <td>$\frac{1}{4}$</td> <td>$\frac{1}{4}$</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$228 \times P(a \leq X < b)$</td> <td>19</td> <td>19</td> <td>38</td> <td>38</td> <td>57</td> <td>57</td> </tr> </table>	Distance from centre of site (m)	0-1	1-2	2-4	4-6	6-9	9-12	$b-a$	1	1	2	2	3	3	No of artefacts	22	15	44	37	52	58	$P(a \leq X < b)$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$228 \times P(a \leq X < b)$	19	19	38	38	57	57	M1 A1 A1
	Distance from centre of site (m)	0-1	1-2	2-4	4-6	6-9	9-12																														
	$b-a$	1	1	2	2	3	3																														
	No of artefacts	22	15	44	37	52	58																														
	$P(a \leq X < b)$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$																														
	$228 \times P(a \leq X < b)$	19	19	38	38	57	57																														
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Class</th> <th>O_i</th> <th>E_i</th> <th>$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$</th> <th>$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-1</td> <td>22</td> <td>19</td> <td>$\frac{9}{19} = 0.4736\dots$</td> <td>25.57...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1-2</td> <td>15</td> <td>19</td> <td>$\frac{16}{19} = 0.8421\dots$</td> <td>11.84...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2-4</td> <td>44</td> <td>38</td> <td>$\frac{36}{38} = 0.9473\dots$</td> <td>50.94...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4-6</td> <td>37</td> <td>38</td> <td>$\frac{1}{38} = 0.0263\dots$</td> <td>36.02...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6-9</td> <td>52</td> <td>57</td> <td>$\frac{25}{57} = 0.4385\dots$</td> <td>47.43...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9-12</td> <td>58</td> <td>57</td> <td>$\frac{1}{57} = 0.0175\dots$</td> <td>59.01...</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Class	O_i	E_i	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$	0-1	22	19	$\frac{9}{19} = 0.4736\dots$	25.57...	1-2	15	19	$\frac{16}{19} = 0.8421\dots$	11.84...	2-4	44	38	$\frac{36}{38} = 0.9473\dots$	50.94...	4-6	37	38	$\frac{1}{38} = 0.0263\dots$	36.02...	6-9	52	57	$\frac{25}{57} = 0.4385\dots$	47.43...	9-12	58	57	$\frac{1}{57} = 0.0175\dots$	59.01...	M1
	Class	O_i	E_i	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$																																
	0-1	22	19	$\frac{9}{19} = 0.4736\dots$	25.57...																																
	1-2	15	19	$\frac{16}{19} = 0.8421\dots$	11.84...																																
2-4	44	38	$\frac{36}{38} = 0.9473\dots$	50.94...																																	
4-6	37	38	$\frac{1}{38} = 0.0263\dots$	36.02...																																	
6-9	52	57	$\frac{25}{57} = 0.4385\dots$	47.43...																																	
9-12	58	57	$\frac{1}{57} = 0.0175\dots$	59.01...																																	
<p>H_0: <u>continuous uniform</u> distribution <u>is</u> a good fit</p> <p>H_1: <u>continuous uniform</u> distribution <u>is not</u> a good fit</p>	B1																																				
$\sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \frac{313}{114} = 2.75 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum \frac{O_i^2}{E_i} - 228 = 230.745\dots - 228 = \dots \quad (\text{awrt } \mathbf{2.75})$	dM1A1																																				
$\nu = 6 - 1 = 5$	B1																																				
$\chi^2_5(0.05) = 11.070$ (ft their ν i.e. $\chi^2_\nu(0.05)$)	B1ft																																				
<p>2.75 < 11.070, insufficient evidence to reject H_0</p> <p>Continuous uniform distribution is a suitable model</p>	M1 A1																																				
	12																																				
	<p>1st M1 for calculation of at least 3 widths and attempting proportions/probs. <u>or</u> for 1:2:3 ratio seen</p> <p>1st A1 for correct probabilities</p> <p>2nd A1 for all correct expected frequencies</p> <p>2nd M1 for attempting $\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$ or $\frac{O^2}{E}$, at least 3 correct expressions or values.</p> <p>Follow through their E_i provided they are not all = 38</p> <p>3rd A1 for a correct set of calcs - 3rd or 4th column. (2 dp or better and allow e.g. 0.94...)</p> <p>3rd dM1 dependent on 2nd M1 for attempting a correct sum or calculation (must see at least 3 terms and +)</p> <p>The first three Ms and As can be implied by a test statistic of awrt 2.75</p> <p>4th M1 for a correct statement based on their test statistic (> 1) and their cv (> 3.8)</p> <p>Contradictory statements score M0 e.g. "significant" do not reject H_0.</p> <p>5th A1 for a correct comment suggesting that continuous uniform model is suitable. No ft</p>																																				

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q7	(a) Label full time staff 1-6000, part time staff 1-4000 Use random numbers to select Simple random sample of 120 full time staff and 80 part time staff	M1 M1 A1 (3)
	(b) Enables estimation of statistics / errors for each strata <u>or</u> “reduce variability” <u>or</u> “more representative” <u>or</u> “reflects population structure” NOT “more accurate”	B1 (1)
	(c) $H_0: \mu_f = \mu_p, H_1: \mu_f \neq \mu_p$ (accept μ_1, μ_2) $\text{s.e.} = \sqrt{\frac{21}{80} + \frac{19}{80}}, z = \frac{52 - 50}{\sqrt{\frac{21}{80} + \frac{19}{80}}} = (2\sqrt{2})$ $= 2.828\dots$ (awrt 2.83)	B1 M1,M1 A1
	Two tailed critical value $z = 2.5758$ (or prob of awrt 0.002 (<0.005) or 0.004 (<0.01)) [2.828 > 2.5758 so] significant evidence to reject H_0 There is evidence of a difference in policy awareness between full time and part time staff	B1 dM1 A1ft (7)
	(d) Can use mean full time and mean part time ~ Normal	B1 B1 (2)
	(e) Have assumed $s^2 = \sigma^2$ or variance of sample = variance of population	B1 (1)
	(f) $2.53 < 2.5758$, not significant <u>or</u> do not reject H_0 So there is insufficient evidence of a difference in mean awareness	M1 A1ft (2)
	(g) Training course has closed the gap between full time staff and part time staff’s mean awareness of company policy.	B1 (1)
		17
	(a) 1 st M1 for attempt at labelling full-time and part-time staff. One set of correct numbers. 2 nd M1 for mentioning use of random numbers 1 st A1 for s.r.s. of 120 full-time and 80 part-time	
	(c) 1 st M1 for attempt at s.e. - condone one number wrong . NB correct s.e. = $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ 2 nd M1 for using their s.e. in correct formula for test statistic. Must be $\frac{\pm(52 - 50)}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{q} + \frac{r}{s}}}$ 3 rd dM1 dep. on 2nd M1 for a correct statement based on their normal cv and their test statistic 2 nd A1 for correct comment in context. Must mention “scores” or “policy awareness” and types of “staff”. Award A0 for a one-tailed comment. Allow ft	
	(d) 1 st B1 for mention of mean(s) <u>or</u> use of \bar{X} , provided \bar{X} clearly refers to full-time or part-time 2 nd B1 for stating that distribution can be assumed normal e.g. “mean score of the test is normally distributed” gets B1B1	
	(f) M1 for correct statement (may be implied by correct contextualised comment) A1 for correct contextualised comment. Accept “no difference in mean scores”. Allow ft	
	(g) B1 for correct comment in context that implies training was effective. This must be supported by their (c) and (f). Condone one-tailed comment here.	

Further copies of this publication are available from
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481

Email publications@inneydirect.com

Order Code UA024774 Summer 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no. 4496750
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH